2

3

4 5

> 6 7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26 27

28



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,

CASE NO. 08-23/8/1/

Denis Alexander Gracia Ramirez Defendant.

ORDER OF DETENTION

Ĭ.

- A. () On motion of the Government in a case allegedly involving:
 - 1.() a crime of violence.
 - an offense with maximum sentence of life imprisonment or death. 2.()
 - a narcotics or controlled substance offense with maximum sentence of 3.() ten years or more years.
 - any felony where the defendant has been convicted of two or more 4.() prior offenses described above.
 - any felony that is not otherwise a crime of violence that involves a 5.() minor victim, or possession or use of firearm or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon, or a failure to register under 18 U.S. C § 2250.
- B. (On motion by the Government/ () on Court's own motion, in a case

1	Case 2:08-mj-02318-DUTY Document 8 Filed 04/03/09 Page 2 of 4 Page ID #:19 allegedly involving:				
2	() On the further allegation by the Government of:				
3	1. a serious risk that the defendant will flee.				
4	2. () a serious risk that the defendant will:				
5	a. () obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice.				
6	b.() threaten, injure, or intimidate a prospective witness or juror or attempt				
7	to do so.				
8	C. The Government () is/ () I not entitled to rebuttable presumption that no				
9	condition or combination of conditions will reasonable assure the defendant's				
10	appearance as required and the safety of any person or the community.				
11					
12	· II.				
13	A. () The Court finds that no condition or combination of conditions will				
14	reasonably assure:				
15	1. () the appearance of the defendant as required.				
16	() and/or				
17	2. () the safety of any person or the community.				
18	B. () The Court finds that the defendant has not rebutted by sufficient evidence				
19	to the contrary the presumption provided by statute.				
20					
21	III.				
22	The Court has considered:				
23	A. the nature and circumstances of the offense(s) charged, including whether the				
24	offense is a crime of violence, a Federal crime of terrorism, or involves a minor				
25	victim or a controlled substance, firearm, explosive, or destructive device;				
26	B. the weight of evidence against the defendant;				
27	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
28	D. the nature and seriousness of the danger to any person or to the community.				

1	Case 2:08-mj-02318-DUTY Document 8 Filed 04/03/09 Page 3 of 4 Page ID #:20 IV.				
2	The Court also has considered all the evidence adduced at the hearing and the				
3	arguments and/or statements of counsel, and the Pretrial Services				
4	Report/recommendation.				
5					
6	V.				
7	The Court bases the foregoing finding(s) on the following:				
8	A. (<) As to flight risk:				
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15 16	D () As to Jonesia				
17	B. () As to danger:				
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24	VI.				
25	A. () The Court finds that a serious risk exists that the defendant will:				
26	1. () obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice.				
27	2. () attempt to/() threaten, injure or intimidate a witness or juror.				
28					
	ORDER OF DETENTION AFTER HEARING (U.S.C. § 3142(i))				

Ca	B. 2.0	The Court bases the foregoing finding(s) on the following:
$\ $ $-$		
_	 	
_		
_		
_		
_		
		VII.
	A.	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the defendant be detained prior to trial.
	B.	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the defendant be committed to the custody
		of the Attorney General for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to
		the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being
		held in custody pending appeal.
	C.	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the defendant be afforded reasonable
		opportunity for private consultation with counsel.
	D.	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, on order of a Court of the United States or
		on request of any attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the
		corrections facility in which the defendant is confined deliver the defendant
		to a United States marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection
		with a court proceeding.
]	DATE	E. April 3, 2009 Jeffred W Johnson
		UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE